































## **Kinetics**

- □ Study of reaction rate
- Determines number of steps involved
- Determines mechanism of reaction
- Identifies "rate-limiting" step







10/9/2019

















Enzyme	Substrate	<i>К</i> <sub>m</sub> (тм)
Hexokinase (brain)	ATP	0.4
	D-Glucose	0.05
	D-Fructose	1.5
Carbonic anhydrase	HCO <sub>3</sub>	26
Chymotrypsin	Glycyltyrosinylglycine	108
	N-Benzoyltyrosinamide	2.5
$\beta$ -Galactosidase	D-Lactose	4.0
Threonine dehydratase	L-Threonine	5.0



## Applications of MM equation

- □ Interpreting Vmax and Km:
- □ Vmax varies greatly from one enzyme to another
- Vmax is an expression of the upper limit efficiency of operation for a given amount of an enzyme

## EXAM-TYPE QUESTION

In deriving the Michaelis-Menten equation for enzyme-mediated reactions, which of the following did we assume?

- a. The concentration of S is reduced by formation of ES.
- b. The rate of the reaction is limited by ES dissociation to form free enzyme and substrate S.
- c. ES breakdown to form E + S is slower than ES breakdown to form E + P.
- d. An intermediate complex, EP is involved in the reaction.
- e. The reverse reaction is insignificant\*







Values of <i>k</i> <sub>cat</sub> (Turnove for Some Enzymes	r Number)
Enzyme	$k_{\rm cat}~({\rm sec}^{-1})$
Catalase	40,000,000
Carbonic anhydrase	1,000,000
Acetylcholinesterase	14,000
Penicillinase	2,000
Lactate dehydrogenase	1,000
Chymotrypsin	100
DNA polymerase I	15
Lysozyme	0.5



Reaction Catalyzed	$K_{\rm M}({ m mol/L})$	$k_{\rm cat}({\rm s}^{-1})$	$k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M} \; [({\rm mol/L})^{-1}  {\rm s}^{-1}]$
Ac–Phe–Ala $\xrightarrow{H_{2}O}$ Ac–Phe + Ala	$1.5 imes10^{-2}$	0.14	9.3
Phe-Gly $\xrightarrow{H_2O}$ Phe + Gly	$3 imes 10^{-4}$	0.5	$1.7 imes10^3$
Tyrosine + tRNA → tyrosyl-tRNA	$9\times 10^{-4}$	7.6	$8.4 imes10^3$
Cytidine 2', 3' $\xrightarrow{H_3 \circ}$ cytidine 3'- cyclic phosphate $\xrightarrow{H_3 \circ}$ phosphate	$7.9 imes10^{-3}$	$7.9 imes10^2$	$1.0 imes10^5$
$HCO_3^- + H^+ \longrightarrow H_2O + CO_2$	$2.6 imes10^{-2}$	$4 imes 10^5$	$1.5 imes10^7$
H <sub>2</sub> O		0 1 102	1 4 1 4 108
	Reaction Catalyzed         Ac-Phe-Ala $\overset{H_{2}O}{\longrightarrow}$ Ac-Phe + Ala         Phe-Gly $\overset{H_{2}O}{\longrightarrow}$ Phe + Gly         Tyrosine + tRNA       tyrosyl-tRNA         Cytidine 2', 3' $\overset{H_{2}O}{\longrightarrow}$ cytidine 3'-         cyclic phosphate $\overset{H_{2}O}{\longrightarrow}$ phosphate         HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-+</sup> + H <sup>+</sup> $\longrightarrow$ H <sub>2</sub> O + CO <sub>2</sub>	Reaction Catalyzed $K_M$ (mol/L)Ac-Phe-Ala $\overset{H_{2}O}{\longrightarrow}$ Ac-Phe + Ala $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ Phe-Gly $\overset{H_{2}O}{\longrightarrow}$ Phe + Gly $3 \times 10^{-4}$ Tyrosine + tRNA $\longrightarrow$ tyrosyl-tRNA $9 \times 10^{-4}$ Cytidine 2', 3' $\overset{H_{2}O}{\longrightarrow}$ cytidine 3'- phosphate $7.9 \times 10^{-3}$ HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-+</sup> H <sup>+</sup> $\longrightarrow$ H <sub>2</sub> O + CO <sub>2</sub> $2.6 \times 10^{-2}$	Reaction Catalyzed $K_M (mol/L)$ $k_{cat}(s^{-1})$ Ac-Phe-Ala $K_M (mol/L)$ $k_{cat}(s^{-1})$ Ac-Phe-Ala $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ $0.14$ Phe-Gly $3 \times 10^{-4}$ $0.5$ Tyrosine + tRNA $\longrightarrow$ tyrosyl-tRNA $9 \times 10^{-4}$ $7.6$ Cytidine 2', 3' $H_{10}^{H_0}$ cytidine 3'- $7.9 \times 10^{-3}$ $7.9 \times 10^2$ HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-+</sup> + H <sup>+</sup> $\longrightarrow$ H <sub>2</sub> O + CO <sub>2</sub> $2.6 \times 10^{-2}$ $4 \times 10^5$

## Limitations of M-M

- Some enzyme catalyzed rxns show more complex behavior E + S<->ES<->EZ<->EP<-> E + P With M-M can look only at rate limiting steps
- Often more than one substrate E+S<sub>1</sub><->ES<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>2</sub><->ES<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub><->EP<sub>1</sub>P<sub>2</sub><->EP<sub>2</sub>+P<sub>1</sub><-> E+P<sub>2</sub> Must optimize one substrate then calculate kinetic parameters for the other
- 3. Assumes  $k_{-2} = 0$
- 4. Assume steady state conditions

























E + S <-> ES -> E + P E + I <-> EI Ki = [E][I]/[EI]

- Competitive
- Uncompetitive
- Non-competitive



















Raw	[S] (mol/L)	Without inhibitor v (µmol/min)	With inhibitor [I] = 2,2 x 10-4 M y (µmol/min)	
data	1 x 10-4	28.00	17.00	
	1,5 x 10-4	36.00	23.00	
	2x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	43.00	29.00	
	5x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	65.00	50.00	
	7,5 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	74.00	61.00	
Calculated data	1/[S] (M <sup>-1</sup> )	l/v (mmol <sup>-1</sup> x min.) No inhibitor	) 1/v (mmol <sup>-1</sup> x min With inhibitor	ı.)
	10 000	0.0357	0.0588	
	6 666.67	0.0277	0.0435	
	5 000	0.0233	0.0345	
	2 000	0.0154	0.0200	
	1 333.33	0.0135	0.0164	



